	Case 2:20-cv-01189-JAM-DMC Docume	ent 4 Filed 06/26/20	Page 1 of 3	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT CO	URT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIF	ORNIA	
10				
11	JOSEPH ROBINSON,	No. 2:20-CV-1189-	-JAM-DMC	
12	Plaintiff,			
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>		
14	KENNETH BRYANT,			
15	Defendant.			
16				
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the			
18	Court is plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1).			
19	The Court is required to screen complaints brought by litigants who have been			
20	granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Under this screening			
21	provision, the Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if it: (1) is frivolous or			
22	malicious; (2) fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief			
23	from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A), (B).			
24	Moreover, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(h)(3), this Court must dismiss an			
25	action if the Court determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Because plaintiff has been			
26	granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, the court will screen the complaint pursuant to			
27	§ 1915(e)(2). Pursuant to Rule 12(h)(3), the court will also consider as a threshold matter			
28	whether it has subject-matter jurisdiction.			

Plaintiff names Butte County Deputy Sheriff Kenneth Bryant as the sole defendant. Plaintiff claims defendant violated his Fourth Amendment rights by arresting him without probable cause. Plaintiff alleges he was arrested by Bryant on an improper warrant that was based on a false declaration provided by Charles Duran, presumably another Butte County Deputy Sheriff. Plaintiff states Duran and Bryant conspired to cause plaintiff's arrest "upon the false premise of sending in the mail to Duran a 'letter disguised as a court document' that was a real court documents and which both Duran and Bryant knew or should have known was a real court document." According to plaintiff, he was prosecuted for violation of California Penal Code § 166(a)(4), which proscribes contempt of court. Plaintiff states that, years later, the Butte County District Attorney's Office dismissed the charges against plaintiff in the interest of justice after discovering that the document in question was, in fact, a court document.

The Court concludes that it has subject matter jurisdiction and that the complaint is appropriate for service by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs. If plaintiff desires service of process by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs, plaintiff must comply with the requirements outlined below. Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order, or otherwise effect service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4, may result in dismissal of the action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Clerk of the Court shall issue a summons in a civil case, the undersigned's new case documents, and an order setting this matter for an initial scheduling conference;
- The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff the summons, 1 USM-285 forms, and a copy of the complaint;
- 25 ///

- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

1	3.	Within	15 days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the	
2	summons by indicating the addresses of the named defendants and shall submit to the United			
3	States Marshal at the address indicated below the following documents:			
4		a.	The completed summons;	
5		b.	One completed USM-285 form for each named defendant;	
6		c.	2 copies of the complaint; and	
7		d.	1 copy of the court's initial scheduling conference order issued	
8	herewith;			
9	4.	Within	20 days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file a notice	
10	indicating that the documents described above have been submitted to the United States Marshal			
11	or a notice that plaintiff intends to serve the summons and complaint without assistance from the			
12	United States Marshal;			
13	5.	If plair	ntiff seeks the assistance of the United States Marshal, the United	
14	States Marshal is directed to serve all process without pre-payment of costs not later than 60 day			
15	from the date of this order, such service of process to be completed by serving a copy of the			
16	summons, complaint, and initial scheduling conference order on the defendants at the addresses			
17	provided by plaintiff; and			
18	6.	The Cl	lerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the	
19	United States Marshal at 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814.			
20				
21				
22	Dated: June 25, 2020		Shall -	
23			DENNIS M. COTA	
24			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
25				
26				